String Theory II

GEORGE SIOPSIS AND STUDENTS

Department of Physics and Astronomy The University of Tennessee Knoxville, TN 37996-1200 U.S.A. e-mail: siopsis@tennessee.edu

Last update: 2006

ii

Contents

| 10 D-Branes | 61 |
|-------------------------|----|
| 10.1 T-duality (again) | 61 |
| 10.2 D-branes at angles | 64 |
| 10.3 Partition Function | 66 |
| 10.4 Scattering | 69 |
| | |

UNIT 10

D-Branes

10.1 T-duality (again)

Consider type-II theories. We have

$$IIA: (NS+, NS+) (R+, NS+) (NS+, R-) (R+, R-)$$

$$IIB: (NS+, NS+) (R+, NS+) (NS+, R+) (R+, R+)$$

Compactify the 10th dimension on a circle of radius R in IIA, say. As we showed in the bosonic theory (argument is the same) the theory at R is identical to the theory at $R' = \frac{\alpha'}{R}$ (T-duality).

To show this, we started with the coordinate $X^9 = U = U_L(z) + U_R(\bar{z})$ and introduced the coordinate $z = U_L(z) - U_R(\bar{z})$. The resulting theory is at $R' = \frac{\alpha'}{R}$. In other words, the parity transformation on the right-moving part (only!).

$$X_R^9(\bar{z}) \to -X_R^9(\bar{z})$$

relates the theory at R with the theory at $R' = \frac{\alpha'}{R}$. Because of the superconformal invariance, this parity transformation is also applied tp the superpartner, $\tilde{\psi}^9(\bar{z})$

$$\tilde{\psi}^9(\bar{z}) \to -\tilde{\psi}^9(\bar{z}).$$

This, in particular, reverses the chirality of the states in the antiholomorphic part, so R- \leftrightarrow R+. Therefore IIA \leftrightarrow IIB, because that is the only difference between the two theories. Therefore IIA at *R* is equivalent to IIV at $R' = \frac{\alpha'}{R}$. In particular, the IIA R-R fields, C_{μ} , $C_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ are mapped onto the IIB R-R fields, C, $C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ as follows:

$$C_9 \to C, \ C_\mu \to C_{\mu 9}, \ C_{\mu \nu 9} \to C_{\mu \nu}, \ C_{\mu \nu \lambda} \to C_{\mu \nu \lambda 9}$$

Of course, e.g., $C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is obtained from $C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma9}$ in IIA, but $C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma9}$ is <u>not</u> an independent field (can be expressed in terms of C_{μ} , $C_{\mu\nu\lambda}$) 8 + 56 = 64.

Type-I Strings If we compactify the 10th dimension, $X^9(z, \bar{z})$, then the theory in the $R \to 0$ limit is mapped onto a T-dual theory at $R = \frac{\alpha'}{R} \to \infty$ which contains a D-brane.

Recall the argument, in the R' theory, the 10th dimension is

$$Z(z,\bar{z}) = X_L^9(z) - X_R^9(\bar{z}), \ \partial_\sigma Z = \partial_\tau X^9(\bar{z})$$

so

$$Z(\sigma = \pi) - Z(\sigma = 0) = \int_0^{\pi} d\sigma \partial_{\sigma} Z = \int_0^{\pi} d\sigma \partial_{\tau} X^9 = \int_0^{\pi} \partial_{\tau} (2\alpha' p\tau)$$
$$= 2\alpha' p\pi = 2\alpha' \frac{n}{R} \pi = 2\pi n R' = 0.$$

Translation invariance is broken in the T-dual theory. Massless modes (same as in uncompactified theory)

$$NS: A_{\mu}\psi^{\mu}_{-1/2}|k\rangle, A\psi^{9}_{-1/2}|k\rangle, R: |\vec{s};k\rangle$$

where A_{μ} represents a photon tangent to the brane. The second state shifts the position of the brane making it a dynamical object. (*A* is a function of $k \rightarrow$ its F.T. is a function of X^{μ} , $\mu = 0, 1, ..., 8$).

Even though the translation invariance is broken, the original theory has 32 supersymmetries! Of these, only half are broken. Thus the brane is a supersymmetric object with 16 supersymmetries! This large amount of symmetry implies the existence of conserved charges. What are they?

Our brane has 8+1 dimensions, so its volume element couples to the R-R potential, $C_{\mu_1\mu_2,...,\mu_9} (dV \sim \epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2...\mu_9} dx^{\mu_1}...dx^{\mu_9})$. Recall familiar examples:

- A point charge q moving along a trajectory $x^{\mu}(\tau)$ has the action $q \int d\tau v^{\mu} A_{\mu} = \int d\tau j^{\mu} A_{\mu} = q \int dx^{\mu} A_{\mu}$. The charge q is conserved.
- The magnetic flux: $\Phi = \int \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{s}$, $\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}$ Define a field strength: $F_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i$. Then $B_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} F^{jk}$, so $\Phi = \int F_{jk} dS^{jk}$ where $dS^{jk} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} dS_i$ is the surface element. This is the magnetic charge, i.e., 0. Similarly, for the electric charge field, $\Phi_E = \int F_{0i} d\Sigma^{0i} \propto q$.

For the R-R charge on the D8 brane, we have

$$Q \propto \int dx^{\mu_1} \dots dx^{\mu_9} C_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_9}$$

If we dualize two more dimension, the brane becomes a 6+1 dimensional object, (D6-brane). Two more gives D4, two more gives D2 and two more gives a D0 brane which represents a point particle. The charges are $\int dx^{\mu}C_{\mu}$, $\int dx^{\mu_1}dx^{\mu_2}...C_{\mu_1\mu_2...}$ which are the R-R fields in type IIA theory! On the other hand, the D(2p+1)-branes couple to $C_{\mu_1\mu_2}$, $C_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4}$, etc., which are the potentials in the type-IIB theory!

Not all these potentials are independent. Consider, e.g., D0-brane coupled to C_{μ} . The D0-brane is a point particle (with strings attached- hairy) with charge q which is the source of C_{μ} and field strength $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}C_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}C_{\mu}$. q is an electric charge. The flux $\int F_{\mu\nu} d\Sigma^{\mu\nu} \propto q$ (Gauss' Law).

In four dimensional electromagnetism we may define the dual of $F_{\mu\nu}$ as $\tilde{F}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma}$ which interchanges $\vec{E} \leftrightarrow \vec{B}$. Then the electric charges become magnetic charges. One may define a vector potential \tilde{A}_{μ} corresponding to $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$ and describe electromagnetics in terms of \tilde{A}_{μ} instead of A_{μ} . \tilde{A}_{μ} can not be defined globally, since the magnetic flux around a charge is no longer zero, but it can be defined in patches, or almost everywhere apart from the string (Dirac string). If we include both electric and magnetic charges, then **no action** can be defined, yet the theory still makes sense. The existence of a monopole leads to quantization of the electric charge (Dirac).

Proof: Consider a point particle moving from $\vec{x_1} \to \vec{x_2}$. Its wavefunction changes $\psi(\vec{x_1}) \to \psi(\vec{x_2})$. If I want to compare $\psi(\vec{x_1})$ and $\psi(\vec{x_2})$, then I will define the quantity $\psi(\vec{x_2}) * \psi(\vec{x_1})$. In the limit $\vec{x_2} \to \vec{x_1}$ (closed path) we obtain $|\psi(\vec{x_1})|^2$. Gauge invariance: $\psi(\vec{x}) \to e^{iq\lambda(x)}\psi(\vec{x})$, so $\psi^*(\vec{x_2})\psi(\vec{x_1}) \to e^{iq(\lambda(x_2)-\lambda(x_1))}\psi^*(\vec{x_2})\psi(\vec{x_1})$ This is not a gauge-invariant oblect. To make it gauge-invariant, multiply by $e^{iq\int \vec{A}\cdot d\vec{\ell}}$, $\vec{A} \to \vec{A} - \nabla\lambda$, so $\delta e^{iq\int \vec{A}\cdot d\vec{\ell}} = e^{-iq(\lambda(\vec{x_1})-\lambda(\vec{x_2}))}$, so $\psi^*(\vec{x_2})e^{iq\int \vec{A}\cdot d\vec{\ell}}\psi(\vec{x_1})$ is gauge-invariant (physical)!

Go around a loop: we have $e^{iq \oint \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{\ell}} |\psi(\vec{x}_1)|^2$. By Stoke's theorem, $\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{\ell} = \int_{S} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{s}$ (flux through *S*).

If the path shrinks to zero, then $\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{\ell} = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{s} = 0$.

In the presence of a magnetic monopole, $\int_{S} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{s} = m$, the magnetic charge, so $e^{iq \int_{S} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{s}} = e^{iqm}$. We must have $e^{iqm} = 1$, therefore $qm = 2\pi n$, i.e., q is quantized even if only one magnetic monopole exists in the entire Universe. Returning to D-branes, the C_{μ} potential on the D0-brane has field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ whose dual is $\epsilon^{\mu_1\mu_2...\mu_{10}}F_{\mu_9\mu_{10}}$ (8 indices). It corresponds tp a potential with seven indices, $C_{\mu_1\mu_2...\mu_7}$ which resides on a D6-brane.

Thus the D0 electric charge is a source for the same field for which the D6branes magnetic charge is a source. More generally, the electric Dp-brane charge and the magnetic D(6-p)-brane charge are sources for the same field. Action for D0-branes electromagnetism:

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^{10}x \, \sqrt{-g} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + q \int dx^{\mu} A_{\mu}$$

The potential between two points (D0-branes) is a Coulomb potential (in 10D)

$$V(y) \propto \frac{q^2}{y^7}$$

In momentum space, this is obtained from the propagator $-\frac{i}{k^2}$ where k^{μ} is the momentum of the exchanged boson (photon). Then

$$V(y) = -i \int d^{10}k e^{i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{y}} \frac{q^2}{k^2} = -i \frac{15V}{32\pi^4} \frac{q^2}{y^7}$$

where $V = \int d\omega$.

With D-branes, the potential comes from the exchange of closed strings. This may also be viewed as an open string with ends at y = 0 and y = y moving around a loop. We already know how to calculate it.

The answer is

$$Z = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} Z(t), \ Z(t) = \operatorname{Tr} e^{-2\pi t L_0}.$$

Recall our result earlier

$$Z_{NS} = i \frac{V}{8\pi (8\pi^2 \alpha')^5} \int_0^\infty ds (16 + o(e^{-2s})), \ s = \frac{\pi}{t}.$$

Now we have 9 dimensions (16 compactified), so $s = \frac{9}{2}$. Also there is no integral over spatial momenta, only the energy D0-branes have world-lines, so the contribution from 0-modes $(8\pi^2\alpha' t)^{-D/2} \rightarrow (8\pi^2\alpha' t)^{-1/2}$, therefore, there is an additional factor $(8\pi^2\alpha' t)^{-(1-D)/2} \rightarrow (8\pi^2\alpha' t)^{9/2}$.

An extra factor of $4 = 2 \times 2$ (2 from **XXXXX** and no need to average over orientations). Finally, since $Z(\sigma = \pi) - Z(\sigma = 0) = y$, the expansion contains an extra term $Z = y \frac{\sigma}{\pi} + ...$ which gives an extra contribution to $L_0 = \frac{y^2}{4\pi^2 \alpha'} + ...$ Therefore the extra factor is given by $e^{-2\pi t} \frac{y^2}{4\pi^2 \alpha'} = e^{-ty^2/2\pi \alpha'}$. The partition function becomes

$$Z \rightarrow \frac{iV(4\times 16)}{8\pi(8\pi^2\alpha')^5} \int_0^\infty \frac{\pi dt}{t^2} (8\pi^2\alpha' t)^{9/2} e^{-ty^2/2\pi\alpha'}$$
$$= iV(2\pi)(4\pi^2\alpha')^3 \frac{15}{32\pi^4} \frac{1}{y^7}$$

This is compared to the potential $V(y) = -i\frac{15V}{32\pi^4}\frac{q^2}{y'}$. In fact it is the R-sector $Z_R = V(y)$, but $Z_R = -Z_{NS}$, so $q^2 = 2\pi(4\pi^2\alpha')^3$. This generalizes to Dp-branes: $(8\pi^2\alpha' t)^{9/2} \rightarrow (8\pi^2\alpha' t)^{(9-p)/2}$. The potential generalizes to $V_p(y) \sim \frac{1}{y^{7-p}}$ and the charges become $q_p^2 = 2\pi(4\pi^2\alpha')^{3-p}$. For the D6-brane, $q_6^2 = \frac{2\pi}{(4\pi^2\alpha')^3}$, so $q_6q_0 = 2\pi$, the Dirac quantization condition with $p_1 = 1$.

tion with n = 1! In general, $q_p q_{6-p} = 2\pi$, confirming that the Dp-brane and D(6-p)-brane act as electric and magnetic sources for the same field.

10.2 **D**-branes at angles

So far we have considered similar D-branes separated by a distance y. These are parallel D-branes. More generally, we can have a Dp-brane and a Dp'brane along different subspaces and they may even intersect e.g., a D8-brane obtained by dualizing X^9 and a D8-brane from X^8 dualization. These two branes have the space $(X^1, X^2, ..., X^7)$ common. One may be obtained by rotating the other by 90° in the (X^8, X^9) plane. An open string may stretch between these two branes. Then its X^9 coordinate will obey Dirichlet boundary conditions at one end and Neumann boundary conditions at the other. The X^8 coordinate is reversed: Neumann boundary conditions at one end and Dirichlet at the other. Thus the modes expansions will be different. Recall for Neumann boundary conditions on both ends (set $\tau = 0$ for simplicity)

$$X_{NN}^{\mu}(\sigma) = x^{\mu} + i\sqrt{2\alpha'}\sum \frac{1}{n}\alpha_n^{\mu}\cos(n\sigma).$$

Check $\partial_{\sigma} X_{NN} 6\mu = 0$ at $\sigma = 0, \pi$.

For Dirichlet boundary conditions on both ends,

$$X^{\mu}_{DD}(\sigma) = \frac{y\sigma}{\pi} - i\sqrt{2\alpha'}\sum \frac{1}{n}\alpha^{\mu}_{n}\sin(n\sigma)$$

where *y* is the separation of the two (parallel in the μ -direction) branes. Check $X^{\mu}_{DD}(0) = 0$, $X^{\mu}_{DD}(\pi) = y$. X^{μ}_{NN} is split into holomorphic and antiholomorphic pieces as such

$$\begin{split} X^{\mu}_{L} &= \frac{1}{2}x^{\mu} + i\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}\sum \frac{1}{n}\alpha^{\mu}_{n}e^{in\sigma} \\ X^{\mu}_{R} &= \frac{1}{2}x^{\mu} + i\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}\sum \frac{1}{n}\alpha^{\mu}_{n}e^{-in\sigma} \end{split}$$

 X_{DD} is split as $X^{\mu}_{DD}=X^{\mu}_L-X^{\mu}_R$ (dual!) For DN-b.c., i.e., $X^{\mu}_{DN}(\sigma=0)=0$, $\partial_{\sigma}X^{\mu}_{DN}(\sigma=\pi)=0$, we obtain

$$X_{DN}^{\mu}(\sigma) = -\sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2} \frac{\alpha_r^{\mu}}{r} \sin(r\sigma).$$

For ND-boundary conditions, we have $X_{ND}^{\mu}(\sigma) = i\sqrt{2\alpha'}\sum_{r\int \mathbb{Z}+1/2} \frac{\alpha_r^{\mu}}{r}\cos(r\sigma)$. The superpartners ψ^{μ} and $\tilde{\psi}^{\mu}$ are similar.

Generalize to general angles. Suppose that there is an angle ϕ between the branes and consider strings stretched between the two. Define $Z = X^8 + iX^9$ (the brane at $X^9 = 0$ is not rotated-no loss of generality). At $\sigma = 0$, $X^9 = 0$ and $\partial_{\sigma}X^8 = 0$, so Im(Z) = 0, Re(Z) = 0. At $\sigma = \pi$, the brane is rotated by ϕ , so $Z \to e^{i\phi}Z$, so $\text{Im}(Ze^{-i\phi} = \partial_{\sigma} \text{Re}(Ze^{-i\phi}) = 0$. We may expand in terms of the modes

$$Z = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha'}} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{\phi}{\pi}} \frac{\alpha_r}{r} e^{ir\sigma} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha'}} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z} - \frac{\phi}{\pi}} \frac{\alpha_r^+}{r} e^{-ir\sigma}$$

 α_r and α_r^+ are independent, because they involve α_r^8 and α_r^9 ($\alpha_r = \alpha_r^8 + \alpha_r^9$). At $\sigma = 0$: $Z \sim O(\alpha_r + \alpha_r^+)$, so, Im(Z) = 0, and $\partial_{\sigma} Z \sim i(\alpha_r - \alpha_r^+)$, so, $\text{Re}(\partial_{\sigma} Z) = 0$.

At $\sigma = \pi$: $Z \sim (\alpha_r + \alpha_r^+)e^{i\phi}$, so, $\operatorname{Im}(Ze^{-i\phi}) = 0$, and $\partial_{\sigma}Z \sim i(\alpha_r - \alpha_r^+)e^{i\phi}$, so, $\operatorname{Re}(\partial_{\sigma}Ze^{-i\phi}) = 0$.

10.3 Partition Function

The partition function has contributions from both the α_r 's and α_r^+ 's. It is easy to see that for $q = e^{-2\pi t}$

$$Z = q^{a} \prod_{r \in Z + \frac{\phi}{\pi}} (1 - q^{r})^{-1} \prod_{r \in Z - \frac{\phi}{\pi}} (1 - q^{r})^{-1},$$

$$= q^{a} \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{m + \frac{\phi}{\pi}})^{-1} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{m - \frac{\phi}{\pi}})^{-1},$$

where *a* is the Casimir energy (normal ordering constant in $L_0 =: L_0 : -a$). Recall a = -1/24 for a boson, because $a = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n = \frac{1}{2} \zeta(1) = -1/24$. Here the sum becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r\in Z-\frac{\phi}{\pi}}r = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(m-\frac{\phi}{\pi}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{8}\left(2\frac{\phi}{\pi} - 1\right)^2\right].$$

To prove this, look at the twisted sum problem (Polchinski 2.9.19) done last semester. Also,

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{r\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{\phi}{\pi}\\r>0}}r = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(m+\frac{\phi}{\pi}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{8}\left(2\left(1-\frac{\phi}{\pi}\right) - 1\right)^2\right] = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{8}\left(1-2\frac{\phi}{\pi}\right)^2\right],$$

which is the same as before. So, $a = \frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{8} \left(1 - 2\frac{\phi}{\pi}\right)^2$. Therefore,

$$Z = q^{a}(1-z)^{-1} \left[\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1-zq^{m})(1-z^{-1}q^{m}) \right]^{-1}, \quad z = q^{\phi/\pi} = e^{-2\phi t} = e^{2\pi i\nu}$$

This can be expressed in terms of

$$\vartheta_{11}(\nu, it) = -2q^{1/8}\sin\pi\nu\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}(1-q^m)(1-zq^m)(1-z^{-1}q^m),$$

and

$$\eta(it) = q^{1/24} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^m)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\eta(it)}{\vartheta_{11}(\nu,it)} &= -\frac{1}{2}q^{-1/24-1/8-a}\frac{1}{\sin\pi\nu}\left[\prod(1-zq^m)(1-z^{-1}q^m)\right]^{-1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}q^{1/24-1/8-a}\frac{1-z}{\sin\pi\nu}Z \\ &= iq^{\phi^2/2\pi^2}Z \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$Z = -1 \frac{e^{\phi^2 t/\pi \eta(it)}}{\vartheta_{11}(\nu, it)}$$

Similarly for the fermion, we obtain

$$Z = \frac{\vartheta_{ab}(\nu, it)}{e^{\phi^2 t/\pi} \eta(it)},$$

for a, b = 0, 1 (NS-NS, NS-R, etc.)

Notice that the bosonic Z diverges as $\nu \to 0$, i.e., $\phi \to 0$. In this limit the two branes become parallel to each other, and the string is free to move along them, i.e., it has an additional (continuous) momentum, whose trace gives $\operatorname{Tr} q^{L_0} \sim \frac{V}{\sqrt{8\pi\alpha' t}}$, where V is the volume of the dimension along the brane. Therefore,

$$Z = q^{a} \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi^{2}\alpha' t}} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1-q^{m})^{-2}, \quad a = 1/24 - 1/8 = -1/12$$
$$= \frac{V}{\sqrt{8\pi^{2}\alpha' t}} (\eta(it))^{-2}.$$

The fermionic partition functions Z_{ab} do not change. Suppose as $\phi \to 0$, both branes are in the X^8 direction. Now take the dual of X^8 . Since we have Neumann boundary conditions in X^8 (Dirichlet in X^9), in the dual, we will have Dirichlet in X^8 . So in the dual picture, the two branes will become distinct points separated by a distinct y.

If originally we had Dp-branes, we end up with D(p-1) branes in the dual space. Open strings are stretched between the two branes. Thus, instead of a continuous momentum, we now have a contribution $\frac{y^2}{4\pi^2\alpha'}$ in L_0 , therefore $e^{-ty^2/2\pi\alpha'}$, $y^2 = y_8^2 + y_9^2$, in general. The partition function is

$$Z = q^{a} e^{-ty^{2}/2\pi\alpha'} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{m})^{-2} = e^{-ty^{2}/2\pi\alpha'} (\eta(it))^{-2}$$

Example: Consider two D4-branes at an angle ϕ_1 in the 23-plane, ϕ_2 in the 45-plane, ϕ_3 in the 67-plane, ϕ_4 in the 89-plane and separated by a ditance y in the 1-direction. In each plane, we obtain a partition function for the fermions:

$$Z_{ab}(\phi_i, it) = \frac{\vartheta_{ab}(\nu_i, it)}{e^{\phi_6^2 t/\pi} \eta(it)}, \quad , \nu_{=} i\phi_i t/pi, \ i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Putting them together, the fermionic partition function is

$$Z_{f} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\vartheta_{00}(\nu_{i}, it)}{e^{\phi_{i}^{2}t/\pi} \eta(it)} - \prod_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\vartheta_{10}(\nu_{i}, it)}{e^{\phi_{i}^{2}t/\pi} \eta(it)} - \prod_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\vartheta_{01}(\nu_{i}, it)}{e^{\phi_{i}^{2}t/\pi} \eta(it)} - \prod_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\vartheta_{11}(\nu_{i}, it)}{e^{\phi_{i}^{2}t/\pi} \eta(it)} \right]$$

Generalizing our earlier result, when $\phi_i = 0 = \nu_i$,

$$Z_{\psi} = \frac{1}{2\eta^4(it)} \left(\vartheta_{00}^4(0, i\tau) - \vartheta_{10}^4(0, i\tau) - \vartheta_{01}^4(0, i\tau) - \vartheta_{11}^4(0, i\tau) \right).$$

Earlier we used the abtruse identity to show $Z_{\psi} = 0$. Now, we shall use the generalization of the abstruse identity:

$$\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \vartheta_{00}^{4}(0, i\tau) - \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \vartheta_{10}^{4}(0, i\tau) - \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \vartheta_{01}^{4}(0, i\tau) - \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \vartheta_{11}^{4}(0, i\tau) = 2 \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \vartheta_{11}(\nu'_{i}, it)$$
$$\nu'_{i} = i\phi'_{i}t/\pi, \quad \phi'_{1} = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{1} + \phi_{2} + \phi_{3} + \phi_{4}), \quad \phi'_{2} = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{1} + \phi_{2} - \phi_{3} - \phi_{4})$$
$$\phi'_{3} = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{1} - \phi_{2} + \phi_{3} - \phi_{4}), \quad \phi'_{4} = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{1} - \phi_{2} - \phi_{3} + \phi_{4})$$

Notice $\sum_{i=1}^{4} \phi_i'^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \phi_i^2$, so $\prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{\phi_i'^2 t/\pi} = \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{\phi_i'^2 t\pi}$ and

$$Z_f = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^4 \vartheta_{11}(\nu'_i, it) e^{-\phi'^2_i t/\pi}}{\eta^4(it)}$$

Bosons: Recall in the 89-plane

$$Z_{boson} = -i \frac{e^{\phi^2 t/\pi} \eta(it)}{\vartheta_{11}(\nu, it)}$$

so in the 234...9 direction

$$Z_{boson} = \eta^4(it) \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{e^{\phi_i^2 t/\pi}}{\vartheta_{11}(\nu_i, it)}$$

In the 0(time)-direction, we have a continuous distribution, so $Z \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi^2 \alpha' t}}$. In the 1-direction, we have branes separated by a distance y, so $L_0 = \frac{y^2}{4\pi^2 \alpha'} + \frac{y^2}{4\pi^2 \alpha'}$..., so $Z_1 \sim e^{-ty^2/2\pi \alpha'}$.

Multiplying everything, the partition function becomes (potential)

$$V = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi^2 \alpha' t}} e^{-ty^2/2\pi\alpha'} \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{\vartheta_{11}(\nu'_i, it)}{\vartheta_{11}(\nu_i, it)}$$

This is a complicated function of y. We will calculate it for large distances. If y is large, the dominant contribution to the integral comes from small t (due to the $e^{ty^2/2\pi\alpha'}$ factor). If we set t = 0 in the ϑ -function, we obtain a constant and the integral diverges. We will calculate the force, which is a physical quantity and define the potential on the integral, $V = -\int F dy$.

$$F = -\frac{dV}{dy} = -y \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{\pi \alpha'} \frac{e^{-ty^2/2\pi\alpha'}}{\sqrt{8\pi^2 \alpha' t}} \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{\vartheta_{11}(\nu'_i, it)}{\vartheta_{11}(\nu_i, it)}$$

From

$$\vartheta_{11}(\nu, it) = -2q^{1/8} \sin \pi \nu \prod_{m=1}^{4} (1-q^m)(1-zq^m)(1-z^{-1}q^m),$$

and

$$\vartheta_{11}(-i\nu/t,i/t) = -i\sqrt{t}e^{\pi\nu^2/t}\vartheta_{11}(\nu,it)$$

we obtain

$$\prod_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\vartheta_{11}(\nu'_i, it)}{\vartheta_{11}(\nu_i, it)} = \prod_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\vartheta_{11}(-i\nu'_i/t, i/t)}{\vartheta_{11}(-i\nu_i/t, i/t)}.$$

As $t \to 0$, $q = e^{-2\pi/t} \to 0$, so $\Pi \to \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{\sin i\pi \nu_i'/t}{\sin i\pi \nu_i/t}$

$$\nu_i = i\phi t/\pi \to i\pi\nu_i/t = -\phi_i, \quad \Pi = \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{\sin\phi_i'}{\sin\phi_i}.$$

So

$$F \sim Cy \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-ty^2/2\pi\alpha'}, \ y \to \infty \quad const.: \frac{1}{\pi\alpha'\sqrt{8\pi^2\alpha'}} \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{\sin\phi'_i}{\sin\phi_i}.$$

and the potential is $V \sim Cy$.

10.4 Scattering

How do you make a D-brane move? Simple. Motion in e.g., the 1-direction is motion in Minkowski space (X^0,X^1) just like a rotation in Euclidean space (X^8,X^9) we studied above.

$$\begin{pmatrix} X^0 \\ X^1 \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \zeta & \sinh \zeta \\ \sinh \zeta & \cosh \zeta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X^0 \\ X^1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} X^8 \\ X^9 \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} \cos \zeta & \sin \zeta \\ -\sin \zeta & \cos \zeta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X^8 \\ X^9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $X^1 = vX^0$ and the speed (*v*) is defined by the rapidity (ζ) as $v = \tanh \zeta$. The rapidity is related to the velocity via

$$\cosh \zeta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}}, \qquad \qquad \sinh \zeta = \frac{v}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}}$$

Consider two parallel Dp-branes moving with relative velocity v in the X^1 -direction and separated by a distance y in the z-direction (branes are perpendicular to bot X^1 and X^2). In the 01-plane (Minkowski), we may copy our earlier result with the substitution $\phi = -i\zeta$: The bosonic part of the partition function is

$$Z_{bosonic \ (01)} = -i \frac{e^{\phi^2 t/\pi} \eta(it)}{\vartheta_{11}(\nu, it)}, \qquad \phi = -i\zeta, \ \nu = i\phi t/\pi - \zeta t/\pi.$$

The fermionic part is

$$Z_{ab} = \frac{\vartheta_{ab}(\nu, it)}{e^{\phi^2 t/\pi} \eta(it)}.$$

In the rest of the direction, the D-branes are parallel, so all other angles are zero. Therefore, the fermionic piece is

$$Z_{f} = \frac{1}{2\eta^{4}(it)} e^{-\phi^{2}t/\pi} \left[\vartheta_{00}(\nu, it)\vartheta_{00}^{3}(0, it) - \vartheta_{10}(\nu, it)\vartheta_{10}^{3}(0, it) - \vartheta_{01}(\nu, it)\vartheta_{01}^{3}(0, it) - \vartheta_{11}(\nu, it)\vartheta_{11}^{3}(0, it)\right]$$

This may be computed by applying the generalized abstruse identity. We have

$$\phi_1 = \phi, \ \phi_2 = \phi_3 = \phi_4 = 0,$$

so

$$\phi_1' = \phi_2' = \phi_3' = \phi_4' = \frac{1}{2}\phi$$

and therefore

$$Z_f = \frac{1}{2\eta^4(it)} e^{-\phi^2 t/\pi} \vartheta_{11}^4(\frac{1}{2}\phi, it).$$

The bosonic piece in the other directions $(X^2, X^3, ..., X^9$ total of eight ... six of which are transverse) is

$$Z_{\substack{bosonic\\2,3,...,9}} = V_p \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi^2 \alpha' t}}\right)^p e^{-ty^2/2\pi\alpha'} (\eta(it))^{-6}$$

Therefore the partition function is

$$Z = -iV_p \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} (8\pi^2 \alpha' t)^{-p/2} e^{-ty^2/2\pi\alpha'} \frac{\vartheta_{11}^4(\nu/2, it)}{\vartheta_{11}(\nu, it)} (\eta(it))^{-9}, \quad \nu = \zeta t/\pi$$

As the branes move the distance changes to $r^2=y^2+v^2\tau^2.$ The potential may be extracted from

$$Z = -1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau V[r(\tau), v].$$

We easily obtain

where we used the modular properties of the ϑ and η functions. Note: as $v\to 0,\ u\to 0,$ so $V\to 0.$ Since

$$\vartheta_{11}(\nu, it) = -2q^{1/8}\sin\pi\nu\prod(1-q^m)(1-zq^m)(1-z^{-1}q^m), \ \eta(it) = q^{1/24}\prod(1-q^m)(1-q^m)(1-z^{-1}q^m),$$

we have, as $v \to 0, \ \nu \to 0, \ Z \to 1$.

$$\frac{\vartheta_{11}^4(i\zeta/2\pi,i/t)}{\eta^9(i/t)\vartheta_{11}(i\zeta/\pi,i/t)} = \frac{8i\sinh^4(\zeta/2)}{\sinh(\zeta)} + \ldots = \frac{1}{2}v^3 + \ldots, \qquad \zeta \to v$$

So

$$\begin{split} V(r,v) &= -\frac{2V_p v^4}{(\sqrt{8\pi^2 \alpha'})^{p+1}} \int_0^\infty dt \; t^{(5-p)/2} e^{-tr^2/2\pi\alpha'} + o(v^6) \\ &\sim -\frac{v^4}{r^{7-p}} \frac{V_p}{\alpha'^{p-3}} \end{split}$$

Problem: as $r \to 0, V \to \infty$! How can string theory claim finiteness at short distances (*r* is real distance - not bogus!)?

Answer: Let $r \to 0$ *before* expanding in v. r only appears in $e^{-tr^2/2\pi\alpha'}$. If we rescale $t \to t/r^2$, the $r \to 0$ corresponds to *large* t. If t is large in ϑ , η , then

$$\frac{\vartheta_{11}^4}{\eta^9\vartheta_{11}} \to \frac{\sinh^4\left(\frac{vt}{4}\right)}{\sinh(vt)}, \quad \zeta \sim v$$

From the exponential, $t \sim 2\pi \alpha'/r^2$ dominates. $ut \sim 2\pi \alpha' u/r^2$, so in the limit that $r \to 0$, ut becomes large and the integral oscillates rapidly. OScillation on a scale $ut \sim 1$, i.e., $2\pi \alpha' u \sim r^2$, i.e., $r \sim \sqrt{\alpha' v}$. This is the effective scale probed by the brane: $r_0 = \sqrt{\alpha' v}$. A slow brane $(v \to 0)$ probes scales smaller than the string scale! Moreover, we obtain an uncertainty in the position

$$\delta x \ge \sqrt{\alpha' v}.$$

The time it takes for this scattering process is

$$\delta t \sim \delta x/v$$

Therefore,

$$\delta x \delta t \ge \frac{\delta x}{v} \sqrt{\alpha' v} \simeq \frac{\alpha' v}{v} = \alpha'.$$

A new uncertainty principle! It implies that coordinates do **not** commute! It seems that Nature is described by noncommutative geometry. What can this possibly mean??

Consider two branes separated by a distance y. Strings ending on the same brane have a massless mode each, so we have two massless modes. A string stretched between the two branes has

$$L_0 = \frac{y^2}{4\pi^2 \alpha'} + \dots$$

This extra term makes $L_0 > 0$ i.e., there are no massless modes. At low energies, we only see two massless particles from the two branes. However, when $y \rightarrow 0$, the stretched string develops a massless mode, and there are two of

them. So when the two branes coincide, there are four massless modes. These four modes can be grouped into a matrix X_{ij} in an obvious notation.

Each X_{ij} is the position of the brane! When we develop a particle theory we need to treat the position of the brane as a 2 × 2 matrix. More generally, n distinct branes have n massless modes. The particle theory is just n copies of the same theory. When all n branes coincide, we have n^2 massless modes. Each massless mode corresponds to a symmetry of the theory (U(1)). With n^2 massless states the symmetry is enhanced to U(n) (n^2 generators).

Familiar Examples

Photon: $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}$, U(1) symmetry $(A_{\mu} \to A_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu}\lambda)$. 3 Photons: $F^{i}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A^{i}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A^{i}_{\mu}$, $U(1)^{3}$ symmetry.

Weak Bosons: Demand SU(2) symmetry which has three generators, so $F^i_{\mu\nu} \neq \partial_{\mu}A^i_{\nu} = \partial_{\nu}A^i_{\mu}$. There is a correction, to obey the enhanced symmetry $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} + [A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}] (A_{\mu} \text{ is a matrix } ... A_{\mu} = A^i_{\mu}\sigma_i)$ Gluons: Demand SU(3) - eight gluons $(3^2 - 1)$.

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} + [A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}], \quad A_{\mu} = A^{i}_{\mu}\lambda_{i}$$

where λ_i represent the Gell-Mann matrices. The action is given by

$$S \sim \int d^4 x \operatorname{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

If we only had eight copies of electromagnetism, we would have

$$S \sim \int d^4 x \operatorname{Tr} F^i_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_i$$

Now we have interactions between gluons - enhanced symmetry (gluons and weak bosons, unlike photons have charge). <u>Potential</u>: Set A_{μ} =constant, then

Tr
$$F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \sim \text{Tr} [A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}]^2$$
.

Back to D-branes: X^{μ} is like a^{μ} (that can be made precise - see Polchinski **8.6**). So the enhanced symmetry contains a potential

$$V \sim \text{Tr} [X^{\mu}, X^{\nu}]^2$$

where μ, ν run over that dimension transverse to the branes. Expand around $X^{\mu} = 0$ in a Taylor series. There are no linear or quadratic terms in X^{μ} , so there is no mass term (which would come from $V(\phi) = V(0) + V'(0)\phi + \frac{1}{2}V''(0)\phi^2/m^2 + ...)$

So we have kn^2 massless modes, where k is the number of transverse dimensions. Also, V = 0 if and only if all $[X_m, X_n] = 0$, i.e., all X_m commute. This can be accomplished if we make them all diagonal. There are n diagonal elements, each corresponding to one of the D-branes. Thus this potential correctly describes n coincident non-interacting free D-branes.