

# String Theory I

GEORGE SIOPSIS AND STUDENTS

*Department of Physics and Astronomy  
The University of Tennessee  
Knoxville, TN 37996-1200  
U.S.A.*

e-mail: [siopsis@tennessee.edu](mailto:siopsis@tennessee.edu)

Last update: 2006



# Contents

<b>3</b>	<b>BRST Quantization</b>	<b>53</b>
3.1	Point particle . . . . .	53
3.2	Strings . . . . .	54
3.3	Mode Expansion . . . . .	55
3.4	Nilpotency . . . . .	55
3.5	A note on BRST cohomology . . . . .	55
3.6	BRST Cohomology for open strings . . . . .	56

## UNIT 3

# BRST Quantization

BRS&T: Becchi-Ronet-Stora & Tyutin.

### 3.1 Point particle

Recall

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau \left( \frac{1}{\eta} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}_\mu - \eta m^2 \right) = \int d\tau (p_\mu \dot{x}^\mu - \eta \chi)$$

where

$$\chi = \frac{1}{2} (p^\mu p_\mu + m^2).$$

The constraint  $\chi = 0$  generates the transformation

$$\delta X^\mu = \epsilon \{X^\mu, \chi\} = \epsilon p^\mu, \quad \delta p^\mu = 0.$$

Quantization:  $|\vec{k}\rangle$ ,  $H = p_0 = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}$ ,  $H|\vec{k}\rangle = \sqrt{k^2 + m^2}|\vec{k}\rangle$ . where

$$\omega = \sqrt{k^2 + m^2}$$

is the dispersion relation.

Sexier approach: Let  $\epsilon$  be anticommuting, say  $\epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon c$ , where  $\epsilon c$  are commuting and anticommuting respectively. Promote  $c$  to coordinate status. Let  $b$  be its conjugate momentum, so

$$S_{bc} = \int d\tau b \dot{c}.$$

The action  $S' = S + S_{bc} = \int d\tau (p_\mu \dot{x}^\mu + b \dot{c})$  is invariant under

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_B X^\mu &= \epsilon c p^\mu, & \delta_B p^\mu &= 0 \\ \delta_B b &= -\epsilon (\chi - m^2) \end{aligned}$$

Check:

$$\delta S' = \int d\tau [\epsilon(c\dot{p}^\mu)p_\mu - \epsilon(\chi - m^2)\dot{c}] = \int d\tau \epsilon \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( \frac{1}{2} c(p^\mu p_\mu + m^2) \right) = 0.$$

Generated by  $Q_B = c\chi$  Nilpotent:

$$Q_B^2 = \frac{1}{2} \{Q_B, Q_B\} = 0$$

Quantization:  $\{b, c\} = 1$ ,  $[P_\mu, X^\nu] = -i\eta^{\mu\nu}$ . The  $b, c$  theory is much like  $b_0, c_0$  in strings. States  $|\psi\rangle, |\chi\rangle$

$$b_0|\psi\rangle = 0, \quad c_0|\chi\rangle, \quad c_0|\psi\rangle = |\chi\rangle, \quad b_0|\chi\rangle = |\psi\rangle.$$

Include momentum,  $|k\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle = |k, \psi\rangle$  ( $p^\mu|k\rangle = k^\mu|k\rangle$ )

$$Q_B|k, \psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(k^2 + m^2)|k, \chi\rangle, \quad Q_B|k, \chi\rangle = 0,$$

where  $|k, \psi\rangle$  is closed for  $k^2 + m^2 = 0$  and  $|k, \chi\rangle$  is closed. Set of closed states  $|k, \psi\rangle, k^2 + m^2 = 0$  is a set of physical states, in agreement with the analysis above (gauge fixed).

## 3.2 Strings

Recall  $S = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d^2z \partial X^\mu \bar{\partial} X_\mu$ . Constraint  $T = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \partial X^\mu \partial X_\mu = 0$  (and similarly for  $\bar{T}$ ) generates conformal transformations

$$\delta X^\mu = \epsilon v \partial X^\mu$$

Now make  $v$  anticommuting,  $v \rightarrow c$ , then  $b$  conjugate momentum  $bc$  system we already studied.

$$S_{bc} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2z b \bar{\partial} c.$$

Let us guess that  $S' = S + S_{bc}$  is invariant under the transformations

$$\delta_B X^\mu = i\sigma c \partial X^\mu, \quad \delta_B b = i\epsilon T \dots$$

This does not quite work. We need  $T \rightarrow T + T_{bc}$  and then  $\delta_B c \neq 0$ . The correct transformations are

$$\delta_B X^\mu = i\sigma c \partial X^\mu, \quad \delta_B b = i\epsilon(T + T_{bc}), \quad \delta_B c = i\epsilon c \partial c.$$

Then  $\delta S' = 0$ . The corresponding Noether current is

$$j_B = cT + \frac{1}{2} : cT_{bc} : + \frac{3}{2} \partial^2 c.$$

Require:  $j_B$  have weight  $h = 1$ , so the charge  $Q_B = \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} j_B$  has  $h = 0$  (conformally invariant scalar operator). If we look at the  $cT$  part in  $j_B$  we see  $h_T = 2$  therefore  $h_c = -1$ . Therefore the  $bc$  system must have  $\lambda = 2$  and  $h_b = 2$ .

### 3.3 Mode Expansion

$$Q_B = \sum_n c_n L_{-n} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} (m-n) : c_m c_n b_{-m-n} : - c_0 = \sum_n : c_n \left( L_{-n} + \frac{1}{2} L_{-n}^{bc} - \delta_{n0} \right) :$$

where the minus sign in front of  $c_0$  comes from  $\frac{l(1-\lambda)}{2} = -1$  and is in disagreement between mode and conformal normal ordering.

### 3.4 Nilpotency

$$Q_B^2 = \frac{1}{2} \{Q_B, Q_B\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum ([L_m^{TOT}, L_n^{TOT}] - (m-n)L_{m+n}^{TOT}) c_{-m} c_{-n}$$

where  $L_m^{TOT} = L_m + L_m^{bc} - \delta_{m,0}$ . The right hand side is  $\frac{1}{12}(D + c_{bc})(m^3 - m)$  where  $c_{bc} = 1 - 3(2\lambda - 1)^2 = -26$ . Our BRST charge is then

$$Q_B^2 \sim \frac{1}{12}(D - 26) = 0$$

if and only if  $D = 26$ . Conversely, suppose  $Q_B^2 = 0$ . Define  $L_m^{TOT} = \{Q_B, b_m\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m^{TOT}, L_n^{TOT}] &= [L_m^{TOT}, \{Q_B, b_m\}] = \{Q_b, [L_m, b_n]\} \\ &= \{Q_B, (m-n)b_{m+n}\} = (m-n)L_{m+n}. \end{aligned}$$

Physical states are annihilated by  $Q_B$ , ( $Q_B|phys\rangle = 0$ ). Note that  $|phys\rangle + Q_B|\psi\rangle$  is also physical. they represent the same system (like  $A_\mu$  and  $A_\mu + \partial_\mu\psi$  in QED). Therefore,  $|phys\rangle = \text{equivalence class } |A\rangle + Q_B|\psi\rangle$ . Cohomology of the conformal group

Note:

$$\langle A| + \langle\psi|Q_B)(|B\rangle + Q_B|\psi\rangle) = \langle A|B\rangle$$

for physical  $|A\rangle, |B\rangle$  ( $Q_B|A\rangle = Q_B|B\rangle = 0$ ) where we assume  $Q_B^\dagger = Q_B$ . In particular,  $\langle\psi|Q_B|B\rangle = 0$  therefore  $\langle\psi|Q_B = 0$ .

### 3.5 A note on BRST cohomology

Given a group with symmetry group  $G$  generated by the algebra

$$[L_i, L_j] = i f_{ij}^k L_k.$$

Introduce ghosts  $b_i, c^i$  such that

$$\{c^i, b_j\} = \delta_j^i, \{c^i, c^j\} = \{b_i, b_j\} = 0.$$

Define the BRST charge

$$\begin{aligned} Q_B &= c^i L_i - \frac{i}{2} f_{ij}^k c^i c^j b_k \\ &= c^i \left( L_i + \frac{1}{2} L_i^{bc} \right), \quad L_i^{bc} = -i f_{ij}^k c^j b_k \end{aligned}$$

$$Q_B^2 = \frac{1}{2} \{Q_B, Q_B\} = i c^i c^j f_{ij}^k L_k - i f_{lm}^k c^l c^m \{c^i, b_k\} L_i - \frac{1}{2} f_{ij}^k f_{kl}^m c^i c^j c^l b_m = 0.$$

due to the Jacobi identity

$$\begin{aligned} [[L_i, L_j], L_k] + [[L_j, L_k], L_i] + [[L_k, L_i], L_j] &= 0 \\ i f_{ij}^m [L_m, L_k] + i f_{jk}^m [L_m, L_i] + i k_{ki}^m [L_m, L_j] &= 0 \\ -f_{ij}^m f_{mk}^l L_l - f_{jk}^m f_{mi}^l L_l - f_{ki}^m f_{mj}^l L_l &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

For strings,  $[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n}$  and  $f_{mn}^k = (m-n)\delta_{k, m+n}$   $c^m = c_{-m}$ , so

$$Q_B = c_{-m} L_m - \frac{1}{2} (m-n) c_{-m} c_{-n} b_{m+n}.$$

### 3.6 BRST Cohomology for open strings

Open strings are easier than closed strings, but they are entirely similar. We introduce a vacuum  $|\psi\rangle$  such that  $b_0|\psi\rangle = 0$  and  $|\chi\rangle = c_0|\psi\rangle$ . Then  $\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = 0$ , but  $\langle\chi|\psi\rangle \neq 0$  so, we define the inner product by  $\langle\psi|c_0|\psi\rangle$ .

Let  $|\psi\rangle \otimes |k\rangle = |\psi; k\rangle$ ,  $\langle k|k'\rangle = (2\pi)^D \delta^D(k - k')$ . Physical states will be constructed from  $|\psi\rangle$ , so  $b_0|phys\rangle = 0$ . Then  $L_0|phys\rangle = \{Q_B, b_0\}|phys\rangle = 0$ .

$$L_0 = \alpha' p^2 + \sum_n n b_{-n} c_n + \sum_n \alpha_{-n}^\mu \alpha_{n\mu} - 1$$

$$\hat{H} = \{|\psi\rangle, b_0|\psi\rangle = 0, L_0|\psi\rangle = 0\}$$

$$Q_B|\psi\rangle = |Z\rangle, b_0|Z\rangle = L_0|\psi\rangle = 0, L_0|Z\rangle = [L_0, Q_B]|\psi\rangle = 0.$$

Therefore  $Q_B : \hat{H} \rightarrow \hat{H}$ . In  $\hat{H}$ ,  $|k\rangle$  is specified by  $|\vec{k}\rangle$ , because  $k^0$  is given in terms of  $\vec{k}$  through  $L_0 = 0$ . Therefore we can define the inner product

$$\langle \vec{k} | \vec{k}' \rangle = 2k^0 (2\pi)^{D-1} \delta^{D-1}(\vec{k} - \vec{k}').$$

which is a Lorentz invariant definition.

**Example:**  $|\psi; \vec{k}\rangle$

$$L_0|\psi; \vec{k}\rangle = (\alpha' p^2 - 1)|\psi; \vec{k}\rangle = 0 \Rightarrow k^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha'}.$$

$$Q_B|\psi; \vec{k}\rangle = 0, \quad |\psi; \vec{k}\rangle \neq Q_B|Z\rangle$$

Therefore  $|\psi; \vec{k}\rangle$  are all the cohomology classes. Same as in the light-cone quantization.

**Example:**  $|\psi\rangle = \left( A_\mu(\vec{k})\alpha_{-1}^\mu + \beta(\vec{k})b_{-1} + \gamma(\vec{k})c_{-1} \right) |\psi; \vec{k}\rangle$

$$\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = (A_\mu^* A^{\mu*} + \beta^* \gamma + \gamma^* \beta) \langle\psi; \vec{k}|\psi; \vec{k}\rangle$$

There are 26 positive-norm states:  $A_i, \beta = \gamma$  ( $\alpha_{-1}^i|\psi; \vec{k}\rangle, (b_{-1} + c_{-1})|\psi; \vec{k}\rangle$ ), 2 negative-norm states:  $A_0, \beta = -\gamma$ , ( $\alpha_{-1}^0|\psi; \vec{k}\rangle, (b_{-1} - c_{-1})|\psi; \vec{k}\rangle$ ).

$$Q_B|\psi\rangle = 0 \Rightarrow (c_{-1}k \cdot \alpha_1 + c_1k \cdot \alpha_{-1})|\psi\rangle = 0 \Rightarrow (k_\mu A^\mu c_{-1} + \beta k_\mu \alpha_{-1}^\mu)|\psi; \vec{k}\rangle = 0$$

Therefore  $k \cdot A = 0$  and  $\beta = 0$ . This gets rid of negative-norm states  $k^0 A_0 \neq 0$  for all  $k^0 \neq 0$  and  $\beta = \gamma = 0$  is the other negative-norm state. 26 states remain: 2 have zero-norm:

$$k_\mu \alpha_{-1}^\mu |\psi; \vec{k}\rangle, \quad c_{-1} |\psi; \vec{k}\rangle.$$

They are orthogonal to all physical states  $\langle \dots | \psi \rangle = 0$ .

$c_{-1} |\psi; \vec{k}\rangle$  is **exact**.

**Proof:** Let  $|Z\rangle = \tilde{A}_\mu \alpha_{-1}^\mu |\psi; \vec{k}\rangle$ ,  $k \cdot \tilde{A} \neq 0$ . Then  $Q_B|Z\rangle = k \cdot \tilde{A} c_{-1} |\psi; \vec{k}\rangle$ . Therefore  $c_{-1} |\psi; \vec{k}\rangle = \frac{1}{k \cdot \tilde{A}} Q_B|Z\rangle$ .

$k \cdot \alpha_{-1} |\psi; \vec{k}\rangle$  is **exact**.

**Proof:** Let  $|Z\rangle = b_{-1} |\psi; \vec{k}\rangle$ , then  $Q_B|Z\rangle = k \cdot \alpha_{-1} |\psi; \vec{k}\rangle$ . In each BRST cohomology class there is a gauge equivalence  $A^\mu = \tilde{A}^\mu + \alpha k^\mu$ .

## No-Ghost Theorem

In the light-cone gauge, we considered the space  $\mathcal{H}^\perp$  is a Hilbert space. **No-Ghost Theorem:** BRST cohomology is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{H}^\perp$ .

**Definition:**  $\alpha_m^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\alpha_m^0 \pm \alpha_m^1)$ .

Therefore

$$[\alpha_m^+, \alpha_n^-] = -m\delta_{m+n,0}, \quad [\alpha_m^+, \alpha_n^+] = [\alpha_m^-, \alpha_n^-] = 0.$$

$Q_1$  is the part of  $Q$  proportional to the  $\alpha_{-m}^-$  oscillators.

$$Q_1 = -\sqrt{2\alpha'} k^+ \sum_m a_{-m}^+ c_m.$$

$$L_m = \alpha_0^+ \alpha_m^- + \sum_n \alpha_n^+ \alpha_{m-n}^- + \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \alpha_n^i \alpha_{m-n}^i, \quad Q = \sum_m L_{-m} c_m + \dots$$

$$Q_1^2 = 0.$$

**Definition:**  $R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha' k^+}} \sum_m a_{-m}^+ b_m$

$$S = \{Q_1, R\} = \sum_m (m b_{-m} c_m + m c_{-m} b_m - \alpha_{-m}^+ \alpha_m^- - \alpha_{-m}^- \alpha_m^+)$$



N.B.:

$$[Q_1, S] = [Q, \{Q, R\}] = Q_1 R Q_1 - Q_1 R Q_1 = 0$$

**Theorem:**  $|\psi\rangle \in \text{Large}(S) \cup \text{Kernel}(Q_1) \Rightarrow |\psi\rangle$  is exact.

**Proof:**  $|\psi\rangle = S|Z\rangle$ ,  $Q_1|\psi\rangle = Q_1|Z\rangle = 0$ . Therefore,  $|\psi\rangle = \{Q, R\}|Z\rangle = Q_1 R|Z\rangle$ .

**Corollary:** Cohomology of  $|\psi\rangle$  non-trivial only if  $|\psi\rangle \in \text{ker}(S)$ , i.e.,  $S|\psi\rangle = 0$ .

Now  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}^\perp \Rightarrow Q_1|\psi\rangle = 0$  (trivial from the definition of  $Q_1$ ). From the definition of  $S$  in terms of the oscillators,  $S|\psi\rangle = 0$  only if  $|\psi\rangle$  has no  $\alpha_{\pm m}^\pm, b_{-m}, c_{-m}$  excitations, therefore  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$ . Therefore the  $Q_1$  cohomology is  $\mathcal{H}^\perp$ .

The no-ghost theorem for  $Q_1$ : Let us go back to  $Q_B$ .

Define  $U = \{Q_B - Q_1, R\} = \{Q_B, R\} - S$ , therefore  $\{Q_B, R\} = S + U$ . Map  $|\psi\rangle \in \text{ker}(S) \mapsto |Z\rangle = (1 - S^{-1}U + S^{-1}US^{-1}U + \dots)|\psi\rangle$ .  $S^{-1}$  makes sense for all  $U|\psi\rangle$  contains  $\alpha_{\pm m}^\pm$  excitations therefore,  $U|\psi\rangle \notin \text{ker}(S)$  Clearly,  $(S + U)|\psi\rangle = S|\psi\rangle = 0$ . This establishes an isomorphism

$$\text{ker}(S) \cong \text{ker}(S + U).$$

We can show the cohomology of  $Q_B \cong \text{ker}(S + U)$  just like we showed cohomology of  $Q_1 \cong \text{ker}(S)$ . Therefore,  $\text{coh}(Q_B) \cong \text{ker}(S + U) \cong \text{ker}(S) \cong \text{coh}(Q_1) \cong \mathcal{H}^\perp$ . Q.E.D.

Inner products:  $\langle Z_1|Z_2\rangle = \langle \psi_1|\psi_2\rangle$  (positive definite).